UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,381	06/23/2005	Isador H Lieberman	CCF-6389PCT1/US	2535
TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P. 1300 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700			EXAMINER	
			PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/540,381	LIEBERMAN, ISADOR H	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PEDRO PHILOGENE	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fruit, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-13 and 15 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13,15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/540,381 Page 2

Art Unit: 3733

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/3/09 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Strickland (5,507,800) in view of Jecker (2,764,814).

Strickland discloses an apparatus comprising a shaft member (10) extending between a proximal end portion and a distal end portion, the shaft member, proximal end portion and distal end portion extending substantially along a central axis, as best seen in FIGS.1,3, the proximal end portion having a first surface adapted to (capable of) receive repetitive impacts, the distal end portion including a cutting blade(16) adapted to (capable o to cut through the bone and extending in a first plane between a shield section (14) and a guide section (15) the shield section and the guide section being oppositely disposed about the central axis, the shield section and the guide section

extending axially beyond the cutting blade to recess the cutting blade in the distal end portion, the shield section including an inwardly facing shield surface, as best seen inFIG.2, which extends in a second plane that is transverse to the first plane of the cutting blade. The shield section includes an arcuate end surface for sliding underneath soft tissue present on the outer periphery surface of the bone as the shaft member is advanced, the shield surface functioning as a shield for the cutting blade to prevent cutting of the soft tissues, the shield section includes an outwardly facing ramp surface, as best seen in FIG.1, for cooperating with the arcuate end surface to lift the soft tissue off of the outer peripheral surface of the bone as the shaft member is advanced., wherein the guide section comprises a blunt tooth (17) extending generally parallel to the central axis and acting as a guide to ensure that the distal end portion of the shaft member follows the contours of the inner cortical surface of the bone as the said shaft member is advanced, wherein the guide section includes an enlarged terminal end (18) that resembles a bulb.

Applicant is reminded that anticipation under 35 USC 102 (b) or 102(e) is established when a single prior reference discloses, either expressly or under principles of inherency, each and every element of the claimed invention. RCA Corp v. Applied Digital Data System, inc. 730 F.2d 1440, 221 USPQ 385 (Fed. Cir. 1984) Furthermore, it is well settle that the law of anticipation does not require that the reference teaches what appellant is teaching or has disclosed, but only that the claims on appeal "read on" something disclosed in the reference, i.e., all limitations of the claims are found in the reference. Kalman v. Kimberly Clark Corp., 713 F.2d 760, 218 USPQ 781 (Fed. Cir.

Art Unit: 3733

1083). Moreover, it is not necessary for the applied reference to expressly disclose or describe a particular element or limitation of a rejected claim word for word as in the rejected claim so long as the reference inherently discloses that element or limitation. Standard Havens Products, Inc. v. Gencor Industries, Inc., 953 F.2d 1360, 21 USPQ2d. 1321 (Fed. Cir. 1991). With regard to the recitation that an element is "adapted to" or "configured to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In addition, the manner in which a device is intended to be employed, does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from the prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1887).

It is noted that Strickland did not teach of a guide section including an enlarged substantially sphere shaped terminal end; as claimed by applicant. However, in similar art, Jecker provides the evidences of the use of a cutting instrument with a guide section including an enlarged substantially sphere shaped terminal end to prevent inadvertent injuries.

Therefore, given the teaching of Jecker, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Strickland, as taught by Jecker, to prevent inadvertent injuries.

Claims 5, 7, 13, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strickland (5,507,800) in view of Jecker (2,764,814) in view of Woods (117,588).

It is noted that Strickland teaches all the limitations except for a guide section that is substantially narrower in width than the shield portion and the guide section

projects axially beyond the shield section; as claimed by applicant. However, Woods provides the evidences of the use of a guide section that is substantially narrower in width than the shield portion and the guide section projects axially beyond the shield section to widen the space or opening between the guide and the shield without increasing the width of the cutter.

Therefore, given the teaching of Woods, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Strickland, as taught by Woods to widen the space or opening between the guide and the shield without increasing the width of the cutter.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PEDRO PHILOGENE whose telephone number is (571)272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM to 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,381 Page 6

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Pedro Philogene/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3733 April 21, 2009